GPS VULNERABILITY IN MOBILE NETWORKS

Marc A. Weiss, Ph.D. NIST Time & Frequency Division 303-497-3261 mweiss@nist.gov

Outline: GPS Vulnerability in Mobile Networks

- Generally, what is the problem?
- what are the tight timing requirements?
- How serious are the risks?
- What are the timing alternatives?
- Conclusions

The Problem:

GPS Vulnerability in Mobile Networks

- Mobile networks have increasingly tight timing requirements
 - Time, frequency, phase
 - Timing generally optimizes bandwidth
 - Different services have different requirements
- Most timing is dependent on GPS (or GNSS)
 - GPS is extremely accurate, reliable, and virtually free
 - This is perhaps the best and worst aspect of GPS
- GPS (and all GNSS) signals are extremely vulnerable to interference

Evolving Telecom Sync Requirements: Time, Phase, Frequency, and UTC

- Until the last few years, most precision telecom sync requirements were for Frequency
 - Directly from a clock, usually one locked to a master
- More recently, largely to optimize mobile bandwidth, requirements are needed for Phase and Time
 - Phase must be transferred between clocks
 - UTC or TAI Time must come from a national lab, generally from GNSS
 - These are generally harder to hold-over

Sync from GPS

GPS Clock



Base Station Clock



GPS Clock Systematics and Noise

Lock Loop Systematics and Noise: Contributions from Measurement Noise and Path Perturbations User Clock Systematics and Noise

Outline: GPS Vulnerability in Mobile Networks

- □ Generally, what is the problem?
- What are the tight timing requirements?
- How serious are the risks?
- What are the timing alternatives?
- Conclusions

Summary of Some Timing Requirements

Standard	Timing Accuracy	Frequency Accuracy
CDMA2000	10 μs	100 ppb
GSM	_	100 ppb
WiMAX	1 μs (TDD)	8 ppm
LTE	3 μs (TDD)	250 ppb
WCDMA	2.5 μs (TDD)	250 ppb
TD-SCDMA	2.5 µs	100 ppb

Outline: GPS Vulnerability in Mobile Networks

- Generally, what is the problem?
- What are the tight timing requirements?
- How serious are the risks?
- What are the timing alternatives?Conclusions

Civil GPS Vulnerabilities

	Attack	Description	
Jamming	Unintentional	Solar radio bursts	
	Intentional	Denial of service via RF noise	
Spoofing	Meaconing	Record and playback of entire RF spectrum	
	Security Code Estimation and Replay	Estimate security code on-the-fly and playback with estimated value to defeat security enhanced GPS (not publically available)	
	Data Bit Forgery	Alter ephemeris or leap second indicators	

GNSS Vulnerability

- GNSS best feature and worst problem: it is extremely reliable
- Jamming Power Required at GPS Antenna
 On order of a Picowatt (10⁻¹² watt)
- Many Jammer Models Exist
 - Watt to MWatt Output Worldwide Militaries
 - Lower Power (<100 watts); "Hams" Can Make; Easily Available on the Internet





Jamming Events Each Month, Feb -Oct 2013: London Financial District



Data and image courtesy of Charles Curry, Chronos Technology Ltd and the SENTINEL Research Project

Jamming Events Each Hour, Feb - Oct 2013: London Financial District



Data and image courtesy of Charles Curry, Chronos Technology Ltd and the SENTINEL Research Project

Jamming Events Day of Week, Feb -Oct 2013: London Financial District



Data and image courtesy of Charles Curry, Chronos Technology Ltd and the SENTINEL Research Project

ENFORCEMENT BUREAU SIGNAL JAMMER ENFORCEMENT INITIATIVE



Education and Outreach Efforts

CONNUNICATION.

Coupled with increasingly aggressive enforcement action, the FCC Enforcement Bureau (EB) continues to educate the public, coordinate with other USG agencies, and form international partnerships. For example, EB has:

- released three Enforcement Advisories (one of which was translated into Spanish and Mandarin) designed to (i) educate retailers and consumers, (ii) emphasize that jammers are illegal, and (iii) note that violators risk substantial civil and criminal penalties;
- launched a webpage focused on jammer enforcement (<u>http://www.fcc.gov/jammers</u>);
- developed and released detailed Frequently Asked Questions on signal jamming devices;
- created **jammerinfo@fcc.gov**, a one-stop shop for consumer questions regarding jammers;
- instituted a dedicated tip line for jammers: **1-855-55NOJAM (1-855-556-6526)**;
- issued a downloadable poster highlighting the jamming prohibition and describing how to file a complaint; and
- developed jammer-related reference bulletins for law enforcement officers and other critical audiences.

Slide courtesy John Merrill, DHS

1-855-55NOJAM (1-855-556-6526) - jammerinfo@fcc.gov - http://www.fcc.gov/jammers

DHS Patriot Watch Overview

- The U.S. Lacks the Capability to Rapidly Detect and Geo-Locate Harmful Jamming or Spoofing of GPS Services inside the United States.
- National Policy directs DHS to maintain capabilities to identify, locate, and attribute GPS interference within the United State
- A single well-placed Low-Power GPS Jammer or Spoofer could disrupt an entire region

Network to Detect emerging threats to

Assess National Operations Centers Detect & Locate Slide courtesy John Merrill, DHS

Slide courtesy John Merrill, DHS 2013 FAA RFI Events by Month

Source: August 2013 FAA Spectrum Engineering Office



Spoofing: A Growing Threat



- * Jamming of GPS now poses real danger-experts
 - * Tests show serious impact on ships in English Channel

in Share

Share this

" GPS "spoofing" could pose serious risk to markets

Slide courtesy Kyle Wesson, University of Texas, Austin

000

Could GPS Hackers Cause the Next Flash

Crash?

Spoofing GPS: Telecom Network Vulnerabilities

[PesWes&11]

	Timing	Frequency
Standard	Accuracy	Accuracy
CDMA2000	10 µs	100 ppb
GSM	_	100 ppb
WiMAX	1 μs (TDD)	8 ppm
LTE	3 μs (TDD)	250 ppb
WCDMA	2.5 μs (TDD)	250 ppb
TD-SCDMA	2.5 µs	100 ppb





In 35 minutes, spoofer can shift time 10 µs, which would disrupt CDMA call hand-off

Slide courtesy Kyle Wesson, University of Texas, Austin

Outline: GPS Vulnerability in Mobile Networks

- Generally, what is the problem?
- What are the tight timing requirements?
- How serious are the risks?
- What are the timing alternatives?
- Conclusions

How long can you hold a microsecond?

Much harder to hold time/phase than frequency

Hold-over time depends on many things
Type of local oscillator (LO)
Initialization of LO
Environment: in telecom mostly temperature

Holding a Microsecond

	Temperature Controlled Crystal Oscillator (TCXO)	Oven Controlled Crystal Oscillator (OCXO)	Rb Oscillator (5E-12/mo. aging)
Range of times to hold a microsecond	10 minutes – 1 hour	1 – 8 hours	8 hours – 3 days
Cost Range	\$5-25	\$50-150	\$500-1500

Alternative Time Transfer

- Through the Network
 - SONET has frequency sync, but is being phased out
 - Modern native packet networks need special equipment to pass sync
 - Synchronous Ethernet (SyncE) can provide excellent frequency sync
 - Precise Time Protocol (PTP) can provide sub us time sync over a few hops with special equipment
- Over Air
 - Signals from other wireless base-stations ("macrosniff")
 - PTP over microwave
- eLORAN Could be a Complete Backup Including UTC
 - Currently towers are being taken down

Conclusions: GPS Vulnerabilities

- GPS has been extremely reliable, yet is highly vulnerable to attack
 - So far, GPS interference has been incidental
 - Much like the internet started with no viruses or attacks, GPS could become a target
 - Telecom has accepted the current risk level
- Very dangerous scenarios are possible
 - GPS could be denied, impacting first responders
 - Could be part of a larger attack
 - Removing a GPS attack could take more than a day, for example if done with multiple mobile intermittent jammers

Overall Conclusions

 GNSS provides very accurate and free all three types of sync: Time and Frequency and Phase

Mobile sync specs are getting tighter

 GNSS are vulnerable, best feature and worst problem: extremely reliable

Backup options: good LO, other transfer source

For More About Sync

NIST & ATIS present



JUNE 10-12, 2014 SAN JOSÉ, CALIFORNIA

http://www.atis.org/wsts/

Thank you for your interest!