THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN RADIONAVIGATION LABORATORY

GNSS Radio Frequency Interference Detection from LEO

Todd Humphreys

In collaboration with Matthew Murrian, Lakshay Narula, Peter Iannucci, Scott Budzien (NRL), and C4ADS

Department of Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics

The University of Texas at Austin

PNT EXCOM Advisory Board Semi-Annual Meeting | June 6, 2019

	ken@krypton:/krypton/datastore/wardriving/test_cdma_static
	<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>T</u> erminal Ta <u>b</u> s <u>H</u> elp
	GRID: GNSS Receiver Implementation on a DSP
GRID Software Receiver	CH SVID Doppler BCP PR C/N0 Az El Status (Hz) (cycles) (meters) (dB-Hz) (deg) (deg) GPS_L1_CA Channels
Low-Cost Multi-Band Front End	1 1u 419.47 -84652.33 20970819.58 47.0 301.6 12.9 6 2 15 2219.37 -407260.24 17911221.72 53.6 149.8 49.1 6 2 15 2219.37 -407260.24 17911221.72 53.6 149.8 49.1 6
	3 18 2223.07 -404/19.60 1951/861.53 49.3 243.4 29.9 6 4 21 2028.10 -364865.93 19396012.98 51.4 306.9 34.9 6 5 25 -2736.24 491250.95 20277683.75 48.2 218.4 19.6 6
	6 26 395.30 -83459.65 17642996.88 53.9 88.2 47.0 6 7 29 386.46 -79057.69 16808631.22 52.3 286.4 79.5 6
	8 30 -/42.30 124/52.78 20124323.74 47.7 282.2 18.5 6 9
	1 1 -0.56 IO2.69 7622543.03 60.0 0.0 0.0 5
AddRef (gas1/prefixed.aws3/prefixe(,sign.3/prefixe(,sign.3/prefix(,sig	CDMA_UHF_PILOT_ALTI Channels 1 1 -1.31 265.49 472073.22 58.3 0.0 0.0 5- Navigation Data
	X: -745467.08 Y: -5462655.72 Z: 3196399.33 deltRx: -3465078.67 Xvel: 0.15 Yvel: 0.16 Zvel: -0.05 deltRxDot: -0.04
	Task Name Percent CPUBenchmarks
	Benchmark Name Avg Time Max Time Min Time
Storage	

Software-defined radio is a key asset for agile and assured PNT. The University of Texas GRID receiver is the result of 12 years' development.



February 2017: GRID SDR installed on International Space Station Science mission: Ionospheric sensing via radio occultation and airglow meas. Collaborators: Naval Research Lab, Cornell, University of Texas, Aerospace Corp.



Image: UCAR COSMIC Program







March-May 2018: Raw IF samples captured near Black Sea on 3 separate days 60-second recordings sent via NASA's communications backbone to NRL and thence to UT for processing with latest version of GRID

Power Spectra



L2: 1227.6 MHz



250 kHz rounded prominence at L1 waxes and wanes with an approximately 5 sec. period

Data-Wiped 100-Hz IQ accumulations

False signal

Authentic signal in interference

Authentic signal under clean conditions



Unexplained fading

Doppler time history for false PRN 10 signal from day 144 capture

Post-fit residuals of Doppler time history assuming estimated transmitter location and clock rate offset



Doppler time histories can be used to infer transmitter location, assuming a transmitter clock with a constant frequency offset over each 60-second interval





age Landsat / Copernicus ata SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO **6**074

Khmeimim Air Base, Syria

35.4155 N, 35.9420 E 🔘

Google Earth

Image © 2018 DigitalGlobe © 2018 Basarsoft

Khmeimim Air Base, Syria

35.4155 N, 35.9420 E 🔘

April 2018: "[Syria is] the most aggressive electronic warfare environment on the planet."

> Gen. Raymond Thomas, commander U.S. Special Operations Command

Google Earth



56

20.6

Interference from Syria is also evident in the carrier-to-noise-ratio observables continuously produced by the GRID receiver under normal operation

20.8

21

21.2 21.4 21.6 Time in hours since first epoch

Percentage of epochs with maximum C/N_0 less than 50.582 dB-Hz



Heat map based on standard 1-Hz C/NO data from ISS GRID receiver from Jan–Nov 2018. The interference source in Syria is clearly evident, with a pattern asymmetry due to the receiver's antenna pointing aft.

Interference activity also appears in Asia and possibly around New Zealand.

Suspected interference event in Asia





The Syrian interference source employs *coded jamming*. Its purpose appears to be denial of GPS service, but it achieves this by *spoofing* each of the GPS L1 C/A PRN codes (albeit without LNAV modulation).

Observations:

- a) Suitable LEO instruments can reveal scope, nature, and location of terrestrial GPS interference.
- b) Against receivers performing cold start, spoofing is more efficient for denial of GPS than jamming: a 1W spoofer is more potent than a 1kW narrow/wideband jammer at the same stand-off distance.
- c) Goals for protecting and toughening GPS that are stated in terms of J/S (e.g., 85 dB J/S to withstand a 1kW jammer at a distance of 2 km) assume uncorrelated jamming, not spoofing.
- d) Cold start remains a necessary capability for many applications of interest.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN RADIONAVIGATION LABORATORY